

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,679	08/31/2001	Douglas J. Pearson	10017718-1	3775
7590 06/07/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER CHUONG, TRUC T	
P. O. Box 272400			AKI UNII	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2174	1
			DATE MAU ED: 06/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/944,679	PEARSON, DOUGLAS J.				
omee notion cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Truc T Chuong	2174				
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet I	viui the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become a	ireply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	—· s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· -					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	own from consideration.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in prity documents have bee tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/944,679

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh (U.S. Patent No. 5,255,353) in view of Washington et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,515,682 B1).

As to claims 1 and 11, Itoh teaches a user interface and a software application comprising shadow information representing a shadow direction for an object to be rendered that when actuated actuates the rendering of a shadow having the shadow direction from the rendered object (shadow information 33, col. 1 lines 48-62, and figs. 3A & 6), however, Itoh does not clearly teach an actuatable icon on a GUI. Washington clearly shows of using icons from a GUI in OLE controls of Visual Basic to create and edit icons, control objects, forms, etc. (col. 3 lines 1-25, col. 9 line 48-col. 10 line 51, figs. 21-22). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention, that a person with ordinary skill in the art would want to be able to use the GUI of Washington in the shadow information controls of Itoh to improve visualization for a user when editing an object.

As to claims 2 and 12, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, further comprising an actuatable icon representing a shadow length for the rendered shadow that when

Application/Control Number: 09/944,679

Art Unit: 2174

actuated actuates the rendered shadow having the shadow length (magnification, col. 6 lines 12-55, col. 7 lines 31-76, and figs. 9G-F).

As to claim 3, Itoh in view of Washington teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, wherein the actuatable icon is a menu item on a menu (Washington, Properties with icons of figs. 21-22).

As to claims 4 and 13, Itoh in view of Washington teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 3, wherein the menu item is a numerical expression (col. 8 lines 45-63, and figs. 3A, 9G-F).

As to claims 5 and 14, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 4, wherein the numerical expression is selected from the group consisting of degrees and radians (col. 7 lines 31-58, angle and direction of figs. 3A, C, and 6).

As to claim 6, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 3, wherein the menu item is a compass point direction (parameters of angle, col. 2 lines 10, and figs. 3A & 6).

As to claims 7 and 16, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 3, wherein the rendered object having the shadow direction is rendered upon the menu (it can be rejected under similar rationale of claim 1 above).

As to claim 8, Itoh in view of Washington teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 7, further comprising a print actuation icon that when actuated actuates the printing of shadowed objects having the shadow direction (Washington, print icon on the GUI of figs. 21-22).

As to claim 9, Itoh in view of Washington teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 8, wherein a word processor software application actuates the display of the menu (Washington, Properties of figs. 21-22).



Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 10, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 7, wherein the printing operation is selected from the group consisting of printing (printer, col. 4 lines 1-31), magnetic tape recording, photo imaging substrate recording, and magneto optical storage device writing.

As to claim 17, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 16, wherein:

the point is on the rendering of the predetermined object (shadow information 33, col. 1 lines 48-62, and figs. 3A & 6); and

the pointing device manipulates the point by a point, click, and drag operation (clicking by using pointing device, col. 7 lines 30-65).

As to claims 18-25, they are method claims of system claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 3, and 10. Note the rejections of claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 3, and 10 above respectively.

As to claim 26, it is a computer program product claim of method claim 18. Note the rejection of claim 18 above.

As to claims 27-33, they are similar in scope to claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, and 10 above; therefore, rejected under similar rationale.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Itoh (U.S. Patent No. 5,255,353) teaches shadow images, length, angle, and GUI (cols. 2-13 and figs. 1-10A).

Art Unit: 2174

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 703-305-5753. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

05/28/04

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100